

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/887,609	06/22/2001	Adam G. Wolff	14531.80	7369	
7590 07/27/2005			EXAMINER		
RICK D. NYDEGGER WORKMAN, NYDEGGER & SEELEY			PESIN, B	PESIN, BORIS M	
1000 Eagle Gate Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
60 East South Temple Salt Lake City, UT 84111			2174	2174	
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,				Tala	
;		Application No.	Applicant(s)	( 2 2	
		09/887,609	WOLFF ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Boris Pesin	2174		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The ply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ldress	
THE   - Exter after   - If the   - If NC   - Failu   Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.4 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be lingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered time m the mailing date of this c IED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	ly. ommunication.	
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 C</u>	October 2004.			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3)	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-45 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-45 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable acceptable and acceptable acceptable acceptable and acceptable	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is constant.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) [ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application ority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this Nationa	I Stage	
2) Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		<sup>-</sup> O-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

This communication is responsive to the amendment filed 10/04/2004.

Claims 1-7, 9-45 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 18 and 23 are independent claims. In the amendment filed 10/04/2004, claims 1, 12, 23, and 30 were amended and claims 42-45 were added as new. This action is made Non-Final.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-7 and 9-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knee et al. (US 5589892) in view of Proehl et al. (US 6690391).

In regards to claim 1, Knee teaches a computing system that includes a display screen that is capable of displaying a variety of types of media, a method for providing a dynamically controllable user interface that embraces the variety of types of media, the method comprising the acts of: displaying a menu system on the display screen, wherein the menu system includes first and second categories selectable by a viewer, each of the first and second categories having corresponding subcategories (Figures 6 and 6A); displaying the first category at a selected location of the display screen (Figure 6); displaying, with the second category, the subcategories corresponding to the second category in a single horizontal line, such that all of the subcategories corresponding to the second category can be accessed by horizontal scrolling of the

Art Unit: 2174

menu system and without requiring any vertical scrolling of the menu system, and wherein the only subcategories displayed on the display screen at a given instant in time are the one or more subcategories corresponding to a category displayed at the selected position on the display screen at the given instant in time (Figure 6, Element 61). Knee does not teach a computing system wherein in response to receiving a selection of the second category by the viewer, automatically replacing the first category with the second category at the selected position of the display screen. Proehl teaches, "Manipulating the scroll cylinder of the remote commander to scroll up or down through the list causes the data to move through the stationery cursor located over the highlighted Track Field 110 of status bar 102." (Column 8, Line 44). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Knee with the teachings of Proehl and include a stationary pointer with the motivation to minimize cursor and eye movement distances.

In regards to claim 2, Knee teaches a method wherein the act of displaying the first category is performed by a preset, default setting (i.e. "When the user first enters the MENU mode, the system defaults to selection of the program schedule bar." (Column 17, Line 58).

In regards to claim 3, Knee teaches a method wherein the act of displaying the first category is performed upon receipt of a first input command, wherein the first input command is received prior to the input command corresponding to the selection of the second category by the viewer (i.e. "When the user first enters the MENU mode, the system defaults to selection of the program schedule bar." (Column 17, Line 58)

Art Unit: 2174

In regards to claim 4, Knee teaches a method for having first and second categories in a hierarchical order (i.e. Figure 6A).

In regards to claim 5, Knee teaches a method wherein the first category corresponds to a first type of media and the second category corresponds to a second type of media, and wherein the first type of media is different from the second type of media (Figure 6A, Elements 63 and 61).

In regards to claim 6, Knee teaches a method wherein the first type of media includes television programming, and wherein the second type of media includes a web page (Figure 6A, Elements 63 and 61).

In regards to claim 7, Knee teaches a method wherein the act of displaying the first category includes displaying one or more subcategories corresponding to the first category (Figure 6A, Element 63).

In regards to claim 9, Knee teaches a method wherein the first category corresponds to first type of media (Figure 6A, Element 63).

In regards to claim 10, Knee teaches a method wherein one of the one or more subcategories corresponding to the first category includes a selectable option that performs a function specific to the first type of media (Figure 6A, Element 160).

In regards to claim 11, Knee teaches a method wherein one do the one or more subcategories corresponding to the first category includes a selectable option that initiates an application corresponding to a third type of media (Figure 6A, Element 162).

In regards to claim 12, Knee teaches a method further comprising the act of displaying the first type of media in a background display of the display screen, the

Art Unit: 2174

menu system is displayed in a foreground display of the display screen and the selected position remains constant, and such that the menu system overlays at least a portion of the first type of media being displayed in the background display (Figures 11 and 12).

In regards to claim 13, Knee and Proehl teaches a method wherein the act of automatically replacing includes replacing a display of the one or more subcategories corresponding to the first category with a display of one or more subcategories corresponding to the second category within the selected position (Proehl, Figures 7 and 8).

In regards to claim 14, Knee teaches a method wherein the second category corresponds to a second type of media (Figure 6, Element 61).

In regards to claim 15, Knee teaches a method wherein one of the one or more subcategories corresponding to the second category includes a selectable option that performs a function specific to the second type of media (Figure 6, Element 65A).

In regards to claim 16, Knee teaches a method wherein one do the one or more subcategories corresponding to the second category includes a selectable option that initiates an application corresponding to a third type of media (Figure 6, Element 65C).

In regards to claim 17, Knee and Proehl teach all the limitations of claim 14.

Proehl further teaches a method further comprising the act of displaying the first type of media in a background display of the display screen the menu system is displayed in a foreground display of the display screen and the selected position remains constant (Proehl, Figure 12).

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 18 is in the same scope as claim 1; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

In regards to claim 19, Knee teaches menus system wherein the plurality of categories includes a first category specific to programming (Figure 6A, Element 61), as second category specific to the Internet (Figure 6A, Element 64), and a third category to electronic communication (Figure 6A, Element 63).

In regards to claim 20, Knee teaches a wherein the subcategories corresponding to the first, second and third categories respectively allow a user to launch a function to modify a display of programming (Figure 6A, Element 61), modify a display of a web page (Figure 6A, Element 64) and participate in electronic communication (Figure 6A, Element 63).

In regards to claim 21, Knee teaches all the limitations of claim 20. Knee further teaches a menu system wherein subcategories corresponding to the third category allows a user to participate in electronic communication while at least one of programming or a web page is displayed on the display screen (Figure 38B).

In regards to claim 22, Knee teaches a menu system wherein at least one of the subcategories launches an application that provides current information on a selectable topic (Figure 34).

Claim 23 is in the same context as claim 1; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 24 is in the same context as claim 19; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 25 is in the same context as claim 20, therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 26 is in the same context as claim 21, therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 27 is in the same context as claim 1; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 28 is in the same context as claim 2; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 29 is in the same context as claim 3; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

In regards to claim 30, Knee teaches a computer program product comprising executable code for implementing the act of displaying the menu system in a foreground display of the display screen while displaying a type of media in a background display of the display screen, and such that the menu system overlays at least a portion of the first type of media being displayed in the background display (Figures 11 and 12).

In regards to claim 31, Knee and Proehl teach a product wherein the first and second categories include selectable subcategories, wherein at least one of the selectable subcategories corresponds to launching an application independent of the type of media displayed in the background display of the display screen (Figure 6A).

In regards to claim 32, Knee teaches a method wherein the first category corresponds to a first type of media that is displayable on the display screen and

Art Unit: 2174

wherein the second category includes options that correspond to a second type of media that is displayable on the display screen (Figure 6A).

In regards to claim 33, Knee teaches a method as recited in claim 1, wherein upon replacing the first category with the second category the method further includes displaying the first category with the second category (Figure 6A).

In regards to claim 34, Knee teaches a method as recited in claim 1, wherein every subcategory associated with the second category is displayed by the menu system within the display screen at the same time (Figure 6A).

In regards to claim 35, Knee teaches a method as recited in claim 1, wherein the subcategories corresponding to the fist category are different than the subcategories corresponding to the second category (Figure 6A).

In regards to claim 36, Knee and Proehl do not specifically teach a method as recited in claim 35, wherein none of the subcategories corresponding to the first category are the same as the subcategories corresponding to the second. Official notice is given that it is well known in the art to have same sub-categories for different categories. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Knee and Proehl and include same categories with the motivation to provide the user with numerous ways of accessing data to increase efficiency.

In regards to claim 37, Knee and Proehl do not specifically teach a method as recited in claim 1, wherein horizontal scrolling includes moving the display of at least some subcategories horizontally on the display screen. Official notice is given that it is

Art Unit: 2174

well known in the art to have horizontally scrolling menu systems. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Knee and Proehl and include horizontally scrolling menu systems with the motivation to provide the user with greater selection of choices.

Claim 38 is in similar scope to claim 34; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 39 is in similar scope to claim 35; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 40 is in similar scope to claim 36; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 41 is in similar scope to claim 37; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

In regards to claim 42, Knee teaches a method as recited in claim 1, wherein one of the subcategories comprises a selectable program listing option that when selected, causes an electronic program guide listing to be displayed, the electronic program guide listing comprising a listing of programming by program channel and program time (Figure 11).

In regards to claim 43, Knee and Proehl do not teach a method as recited in claim 42, wherein the selectable program listing option, when selected, further causes the electronic program guide listing to be displayed at the same time that current programming is displayed and in a reduced size frame. Official notice is given that it is well known in the art to reduce the size of the frame and display it with the EPG. It

Art Unit: 2174

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Knee and Proehl and include a reduced size frame with the motivation to provide for more information on the screen without obscuring any important information.

In regards to claim 44, Knee teaches a method as recited in claim 42, wherein the selectable program listing option, when selected, further causes the electronic program guide listing to be displayed with information corresponding to only a single channel and that overlaps currently displayed programming that is displayed in a background that fills a full screen (Figure 11).

In regards to claim 45, Knee and Proehl do not teach a method as recited in claim 1, wherein selection of one of the subcategories causes dimming of a currently displayed program that is displayed simultaneously with the menu system. Official notice is given that it is well known in the art to dim an object when another object is selected. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Knee and Proehl and dim the object that is not selected with the motivation to provide the user with a better indication of the object that is in focus.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 9-45 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2174

# Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris Pesin whose telephone number is (571) 272-4070. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday except every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BP

Bustine Kincaid

KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 11